NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CHARLES LOMACK; HAROLD J. : BANE, JR.; RAMON DOMINGUEZ; JAMES P. WILLIS; KEVIN L. JOHNSON; PAUL T. MAZZA; KENNETH REEDS; GARY HOLMAN; : LUTHER ROBERSON, III; GERRELL ELLIOTT, CLARENCE R. : BRUTON; JAMES GILES; DEAN GATTI; PETER J. COONEY; JOHN P. MELANI; GREGORY HIGHSMITH; JUAN H. RAMOS; : DAYON COBBS; DEBLIN RODRIGUEZ; RAMON RIVERA; CHARLES H. WEST;: SCOTT WOLF; WILFREDO RIVERA; KARREEM JACKSON; JOHN BROWN; : Civil Action No. 04-6085(JWB) WYNDELL COOPER; SHANNON McTIGHE; ASHTON ROBINSON; MARK PISERCHIO; CHRIS DEMURO; NEWARK FIREFIGHTERS UNION; : ERIC BARNES; EDWARD GRIFFITH; LEWIS MANNING and FRANK ZIDZIUNAS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF NEWARK, SHARPE JAMES, EDWARD DUNHAM, LOWELL F. JONES and NORMAN J. ESPAROLINI,

Defendants.

OPINION

APPEARANCES:

DAVID TYKULSKER & ASSOCIATES By: David Tykulsker, Esquire 161 Walnut Street Montclair, New Jersey 07042 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

JoANNE Y. WATSON
Corporation Counsel
By: Carolyn A. McIntosh
 Assistant Corporation Counsel
 Phillip Dowdell
 Assistant Corporation Counsel
City of Newark
Department of Law
920 Broad Street, Room 316
Newark, New Jersey 07102
(Attorneys for Defendant)

BISSELL, Chief Judge

HISTORY

This action has historical origins at least fifty years old. In the wake of World War II as more and more African-Americans migrated to northern cities, such as Newark, N.J., racial tensions between them and Newark's then predominantly white population escalated. Those tensions reached a flashpoint in the riots of July 1967, from which many neighborhoods in Newark have not yet recovered. The conflicts between ethnic groups generated many inequities and illegal policies which, eventually, the courts were required to address. Regrettably, racial discrimination in public employment manifested itself in many

New Jersey cities, for example in their fire departments. This condition led the United States to file suit against the State of New Jersey and several cities to eliminate such practices in fire departments. <u>United States v. State of New Jersey, et al</u>, Civ. No. 77-2054, 79-184. That action was concluded by a Consent Decree (Exh. D-10) dated May 30, 1980, which provided, <u>inter alia</u>:

The defendants are compelled by law and by entering into this Order acknowledge their obligation to, and agree they shall, refrain from engaging in any act or practice which has the purpose or effect of unlawfully discriminating against any black or Hispanic employee of, or any black or Hispanic applicant or potential applicant for employment with their respective fire departments because of such individuals' race, color, or national origin. Specifically the defendants shall not discriminate against any such individual in hiring, assignment, training, discipline, promotion or discharge because of race, color, or national origin. Further, defendants shall not retaliate against or in any respect adversely affect any person because that person has opposed discriminatory policies or practices or because of that person's participation in or cooperation with the initiation, investigation or litigation of any charge of discrimination based on race or national origin, or the administration of this Order. Remedial actions and practices required by the terms or permitted to effectuate and carry out the purpose of this Order shall not be deemed discriminatory within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. 2000e-2(a).

(Emphasis added). In paragraph 3(d) of the Consent Decree,
Newark acknowledged a goal "to fill at least sixty (60) percent

of all vacancies [for entry level firefighters] with qualified minority applicants." To be sure the primary focus of the Consent Decree is on the testing of applicants and the hiring of minorities, but its plain language also encompasses discriminatory assignments of firefighters, the gravamen of the case at bar.

Events Preceding Institution of this Suit

In 1995, the City of Newark commissioned two reports to examine the performance of the Newark Fire Department in the 15 years of operation under the Consent Decree. The Reports were authored by Lezli Baskerville, Esq., an attorney practicing in Washington, D.C. ("D-13" or "Baskerville Report") and Rosenfarb & Co. CPAs of Roseland, N.J. and New York City ("D-14" or "Rosenfarb Report"). Each of these reports was submitted to the Newark City Council in December 1995.

Ms. Baskerville's conclusions included the following:

There are numerous firehouses in the City that are segregated by race. The belief is that the segregation is deliberate. Examples were cited of the following all African American companies with African American officers in command: Engine Company Nos. 7, 12, 15, 17, 19 & 29; Truck Company Nos. 7 and 10. Additionally, the following all African American companies with white officers in command were cited as examples of the pervasive discrimination: Engine Company Nos. 8, 13, 27, 29; and Truck Company Nos. 1, 4, and 6. Examples were also cited of firehouses with at least one all white tour: Engine Company Nos. 6, 9, 14, 16, 17 and 19; Truck Company Nos. 1, 5, 8, 10, 11.

(D-13 at p. 17).

The Rosenfarb Report focused on segregated tours in firehouses, providing charts reflecting the ethnic makeup (white, black, Hispanic) of each of the 108 tours identified. The Report included graphs as Exhibits D-1 through D-20 depicting the ethnicity of each member of each tour. (D-14 at Exhs. D-1 through D-20). Summarizing those graphed findings, the Rosenfarb Report stated:

Exhibits D-1 through D-20 set forth the tour compositions of each firehouse on August 2, 1995. The information the Department provided to us described 108 tours. Eightyone of the tours had a majority of white personnel, with 30 being comprised entirely of white personnel. Fifteen of the firehouse tours were predominantly black. Only one tour had a majority of hispanics. The remaining eleven tours did not contain a majority of any one group. The tours consisted of both firefighters and captains.

Actually, that summary is somewhat understated in that of the tours described as "predominantly black", eight (or more than one-half) were comprised of entirely black personnel. (Id.)¹

One cannot speculate as to why the City of Newark did not respond promptly to this issue of segregated firehouses. Perhaps its Counsel were uncertain as to how to proceed in the wake of

Plaintiffs' attack on the admissibility or weight of these reports, due to the recent decision of <u>Municipal Council of City of Newark v. James</u>, 183 N.J. 361 (2005), is unpersuasive in the context of the case at bar.

Constructors, Inc. v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200 (1995). Perhaps other features of these reports such as those criticizing the lack of outreach to encourage applicants, alleged circumvention of residency requirements, and failure to attain a sufficient total number of minority firefighters, consumed more time and attention in the years following 1995. Nevertheless, Mayor Sharpe James, at his re-inauguration speech on July 1, 2002, made it clear that segregated firehouses should disappear from the landscape of Newark. In his words:

Our Fire Department will face a mandate to integrate our fire houses to improve morale. It is inexcusable that [in] the year 2002 we still have segregated fire houses in the City of Newark, and to give firefighting and community services a higher priority than politics. To lead this charge, to lead this charge a true professional firefighter Former Fire Chief Edward Dunham will serve as Director of the Newark Fire Department. is a career fire officer who joined the Department in 1970 and worked his way through the ranks to Captain before being named Fire Chief in 1998. Since his retirement in 2000 he has been the Department's Affirmative Action Specialist both during active service and in his current position during retirement. He has been the prime mover of efforts to recruit and train minority personnel for the Department. We plan to make our Fire Department the best in America and to honor a court order to make our Fire Department the mirror of the City of Newark, Mr. Ed Dunham.

(Exh. P-1).

Mr. Dunham determined that a policy of transfers between

firehouses was a sound way to accomplish their desegregation. On November 27, 2002 he wrote to Fire Chief Lowell Jones as follows:

"You are directed to formulate a mass departmental transfer list throughout Fire Suppression Operations.

This transfer list shall include the following:

- 1. Reflect the diversity of the department; and
- 2. Assignment of Roving Probationary Firefighters.

You are to have this list completed and forwarded to this office on or before December 31, 2002."

(Exh. P-3).

Chief Jones' Department Transfer List, Exh. P-4, was crafted as that exhibit explains; however, it did not attain the goal of integration which Dunham was pursuing. Accordingly, Fire Director Dunham asked Battalion Chief Raymond L. Wallace to generate a transfer list which would attain that goal. Wallace did so, presenting such a list with an explanation of its origins on January 13, 2003. That list (Exh. P-5) is also attached to this Opinion. Wallace's methodology bears repeating here, particularly in light of the strict scrutiny which this affirmative action program must survive.

P-4 is annexed hereto. Essentially, Jones tried unsuccessfully to achieve diversity through the assignment of new hires and honoring those transfer requests that would promote it. (See Second Amended Complaint at ¶¶ 63-65).

After reviewing the transfer list submitted by Fire Chief Jones as requested by you, I note the directive as to have <u>diversity</u> throughout the Newark Fire Department has not been achieved. if Chief Jones transfer list were to be used, there would be <u>14 companies</u> all Caucasion and 10 companies African American. (see Jones list) This list does not meet the directive and is unsatisfactory.

I propose a solution that would meet the mandate of diversity for all fire crews within the Department. (see 100% diversity) This would require transfers of department members to meet the objective. The transfers would be consistent across the Department, as the firefighter with the least seniority would be transferred. Some of these transfers would be made within the same firehouse, changing tours. Others would be transferred within the Battalion when possible. Be assured that every effort was made to minimize the impact on the affected firefighter.

(Emphasis in original.)

On January 15, 2003, in Executive Order No. 426 (Exh. P-6)³ Director Dunham announced the list of transfers (consistent with Wallace's memorandum) "effective at 0800 hours on Friday, January 31, 2003." This was a unique event in the Newark Fire Department since transfers in the past had been predominantly voluntary with a significant role being played by the plaintiff unions in the granting or denial of transfer requests. As the year 2004 began, Director Dunham and Mayor James were able to announce that all of Newark's fire companies had been integrated.

Also attached hereto.

Several of the plaintiffs instituted EEOC claims of race discrimination based upon this implementation of integration through the transfers policy, receiving right to sue letters on or about November 2, 2004. (Second Amended Complaint at 45-46). The present lawsuit, filed on December 14, 2004, soon followed.

The Complaint⁴

Asserting that 24 firefighters were involuntarily transferred out of their present companies, and five were denied requests to transfer, due to the new diversity policy, and seeking to avoid similar transfers in late 2004 and early 2005, plaintiffs have brought the present lawsuit alleging violation of the right of equal protection under the Constitution of the United States, violation of civil rights through state action per 28 U.S.C. § 1983, and race discrimination within the purview of Title VII (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.) and the New Jersey Civil Rights Act (N.J.S.A. 10:6-1 et seq.)

PROCEDURAL HISTORY IN THIS COURT

On December 15, 2005 this Court granted a Temporary

Restraining Order ("TRO") against any further involuntary

transfers within the Newark Fire Department ("NFD") and set the

matter down for a hearing for a preliminary injunction on January

References here are to the Second Amended Complaint filed on March 4, 2005, adding a fire officer and the Newark Fire Officers Union as plaintiffs. The original plaintiffs had been firefighters and their Union.

6, 2005. The TRO was filed on December 23, 2004. A preliminary injunction was denied, without prejudice, as embodied in the Court's Order of January 7, 2005. An Amended and then a Second Amended Complaint were filed, and the matter proceeded to a bench trial during which the Court took testimony on March 15, 17, 18 and 21 and on May 2, 2005. Also, on April 21, 2005, plaintiffs' additional application for a preliminary injunction was denied. On May 25, 2005, each side submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, and on June 10, 2005 plaintiffs submitted a response to defendants' submission.

The Law

The Court is tempted to view this matter in its simplest terms: reasonable action by a municipality, operating under a consent decree, to desegregate its fire companies, much as it would its schools, because "separate but equal" is not a viable rationale to justify segregation in either setting. It is equally tempting to conclude that because assignments and reassignments to tours are the City's management prerogative (in which the plaintiff Unions have no role and which the affected firefighters and officers may not grieve under their CBAs), the present transfer plan to desegregate Newark's firehouses is a legitimate, unassailable exercise of that prerogative. See Newark Firefighters Union, Inc. v. City of Newark, N.J.

Super. ____ (App. Div. June 23, 2005), which this Court finds

persuasive and endorses.

However, the United States Supreme Court has, in the last 10 years, addressed in depth the manner in which a court should scrutinize affirmative action programs to promote diversity in a setting such as that presented here. The City's transfer program should also be measured against those standards.

In Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200 (1995), the Court, resolving conflicting approaches in its own prior decisions, determined that all racial classifications employed by a governmental actor must be analyzed by a reviewing court under strict scrutiny, to ensure that rights of equal protection under the law (a fourteenth amendment guarantee) have not been infringed. That strict scrutiny requires a determination that the action taken serves a compelling governmental interest and is narrowly tailored to further that interest. Although Adarand's factual setting is different from that of the case at bar, the recent decisions involving admissions policies at the University of Michigan are much closer, and the Court will address them at this time.

In <u>Gratz v. Bollinger</u>, 539 U.S. 244 (2003), the Court acknowledged that diversity in the student body of an educational institution can constitute a compelling state interest, but concluded that the automatic allowance of a 20-point bonus (one-fifth of the total points needed to guarantee admission) to each

"underrepresented minority" candidate solely because of ethnicity was not narrowly tailored to ensure diversity. Thus, this policy for undergraduate admissions at the University of Michigan was struck down.

In <u>Grutter v. Bollinger</u>, 539 U.S. 306 (2003), decided on the same day as <u>Gratz</u>, the Court upheld against a similar attack the admissions policy of the University of Michigan Law School. The Court, speaking through Justice O'Connor (also the author of <u>Adarand</u>) stated, "today we endorse Justice Powell's view that student body diversity is a compelling state interest that can justify the use of race in university admissions." (<u>Id</u>. at 325). Turning to the record before it, the Supreme Court stated the following in concluding that the Law School had a compelling interest in the generation of a diverse student body.

Our conclusion that the Law School has a compelling interest in a diverse student body is informed by our view that attaining a diverse student body is at the heart of the Law School's proper institutional mission, and that "good faith" on the part of a university is "presumed" absent "a showing to the contrary." 438 U.S., at 318-319, 57 L. Ed. 2d 750, 98 S. Ct. 2733.

As part of its goal of "assembling a class that is both exceptionally academically qualified and broadly diverse," the Law School seeks to "enroll a 'critical mass' of minority students." Brief for Respondents Bollinger, et al. 13. The Law School's interest is not simply "to assure within its student body some specified percentage of a particular group merely because of its race or ethnic origin." Bakke, 438 U.S. at 307,

57 L. Ed. 2d 750, 98 S. Ct. 2733 (opinion of Powell, J.). That would amount to outright racial balancing, which is patently unconstitutional. *Ibid.; Freeman v. Pitts*, 503 U.S. 467, 494, 118 L. Ed. 2d 108, 112 S. Ct. 1430 (1992) ("Racial balance is not to be achieved for its own sake"); *Richmond v. J. A. Croson Co.*, 488 U.S., at 507, 102 L. Ed. 2d 854, 109 S. Ct. 706. Rather, the Law School's concept of critical mass is defined by reference to the educational benefits that diversity is designed to produce.

These benefits are substantial. As the District Court emphasized, the Law School's admissions policy promotes "cross-racial understanding," helps to break down racial stereotypes, and "enables [students] to better understand persons of different races." App. to Pet. for Cert. 246a. These benefits are "important and laudable," because "classroom discussion is livelier, more spirited, and simply more enlightening and interesting" when the students have "the greatest possible variety of backgrounds." Id., at 246a, 244a.

(<u>Id</u>. at 333).

The Court then proceeded to determine whether the Law School's admission program (very different than that for undergraduate admissions) was narrowly tailored to achieve a diverse student body. In the Court's words:

We acknowledge that "there are serious problems of justice connected with the idea of preference itself." Bakke, 438 U.S., at 298, 57 L. Ed. 2d 750, 98 S. Ct. 2733 (opinion of Powell, J.). Narrow tailoring, therefore, requires that a race-conscious admissions program not unduly harm members of any racial group. Even remedial race-based governmental action generally "remains subject to continuing oversight to assure that it will work the least harm possible to

other innocent persons competing for the benefit." Id., at 308, 57 L. Ed. 2d 750, 98 S. Ct. at 2733. To be narrowly tailored, a race-conscious admissions program must not "unduly burden individuals who are not members of the favored racial and ethnic groups." Metro Broadcasting, Inc. v. FCC, 497 U.S. 547, 630, 111 L. Ed. 2d 445, 110 S. Ct. 2997 (1990) (O'Connor, J., dissenting).

(<u>Id</u>. at 341). The Court then concluded that the Law School's admissions program was narrowly tailored, and upheld it.

Newark's Policy

Let us now apply strict scrutiny to Newark's policy to desegregate its firehouses, determining first whether this City had a compelling interest in doing so and, if so, whether the action taken was narrowly tailored to achieve that goal.

Compelling Interest

Prior to the implementation of the present diversity policy, de facto segregation existed in a significant number of tours in Newark's firehouses. Thus, the "assignment" of personnel through the random system previously in place had the "effect of unlawfully discriminating against [a] black or Hispanic employee" (to wit, a firefighter of that ethnicity) assigned to an all-black or all-Hispanic tour. (Consent Decree at ¶ 1). Since separate but equal circumstances such as these have been declared per se discriminatory for more than 50 years, Newark had a compelling interest to institute an assignment policy that would desegregate its tours. With the adoption of the policy of

involuntary transfers to eliminate segregated tours of duty in the Fire Department, the City of Newark initiated "remedial actions and practices ... permitted to effectuate and carry out the purpose of this Order...." (Id.) Indeed, any such remedial actions under the Consent Decree were specifically declared not to be discriminatory within the purview of Title VII. (Id) In brief, Newark instituted the transfer policy to implement the Consent Decree by desegregating its firehouses, a compelling interest if ever there was one, and it succeeded.

Plaintiffs argue that a fire department is not a law school and that the benefits of diversity to such an educational environment do not apply to a firehouse whose mission is to fight fires and deal with other community emergencies, not to educate tomorrow's leaders. From that premise, plaintiffs further argue that Newark's transfer policy is "outright racial balancing ... for its own sake", action condemned by the Supreme Court.

Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306, 333 (2003). While these arguments deserve consideration, they do not prevail in the case at bar.

There is credible evidence in the record that exposure to other firefighters of different backgrounds, vocabularies and cultures better prepares a firefighter to work effectively with his colleagues and to perform better on tests for promotional opportunities. Mentoring from senior firefighters on a tour

(usually white) helps junior (often minority) firefighters better learn and perform their tasks. Much of a firefighter's time is spent at the firehouse in a setting of formal and informal training which is enhanced by a diverse, multi-generational environment. Racial stereotypes can be broken down in this setting as well as in a classroom. The Court finds the testimony of Captain Fatcen Ziyad particularly persuasive regarding the educational and sociological benefits of service in an integrated fire company. As stated in the defendants' post-trial submission, which the Court finds supported in the record and, therefore, adopts: "Captain Ziyad and Former Director Ed Dunham testified how integration in fire companies leads to greater camaraderie between coworkers, acceptance and consideration for people of varying backgrounds, sharing of information and study support. It also promotes tolerance and mutual respect among colleagues. What the NFU and the NFOU fail to even acknowledge in their quest to maintain firefighter based assignments choices, which led to the creation of single-race fire companies, is that firefighters are often welded together with a deep friendship and respect born in training together, in being housed together, in combating fires together, and subsequently matured by the realization that such diverse racial, gender and cultural association is not the impossibility within the fire department that many have been led to believe." (Defendants' Findings of

Fact and Conclusions of Law at 36).

Accordingly, while education is not the primary function of a fire company, formal and informal training, on the job and during a tour at the firehouse, is an important aspect of a firefighter's occupation. Development of mutual respect and understanding between members of different races in the Newark Fire Department, which can only improve their performance in their often dangerous work, is necessarily enhanced by serving side-by-side with colleagues in a multi-racial tour.

When one "strictly scrutinizes" the Newark transfer policy and finds it to be designed to eliminate <u>de facto</u> segregation in its firehouses, in pursuit of the mandate of the Consent Decree to which it was a party, with attendant educational, sociological and job-performance enhancements as well, one is led to the inevitable conclusion that this policy was implemented to achieve a "compelling interest" of the City. Under no circumstances can it be said that the policy in question is merely "outright racial balancing ... for its own wake." <u>Grutter</u>, 539 U.S. at 333.

Narrow Tailoring

The Court now turns its attention to the question of whether the policy implemented by the City of Newark was "narrowly tailored" to achieve the goal. Indeed it was.

Initially, the Court notes that Union participation was certainly not required as any part of a narrowly tailored

approach. The Unions' participation in prior transfer decisions as a claim of right had contributed significantly to the single-race firehouses with which Newark was confronted in 2002. The letter from Captain John B. Sandeller of the Newark Fire Officers Union to Chief Jones, dated December 30, 2002, demonstrates that it would be business as usual as far as the Union was concerned. (Exh. P-19).

The Newark Fire Department then proceeded in a measured, appropriate sequence to establish a policy to achieve its goal of desegregation. Chief Jones produced for Director Dunham a list (P-4) essentially based upon voluntary transfer requests (some granted and some denied) and the assignment of new arrivals in the Department. Chief Jones said that through this list he "tried to achieve an element of diversity..." (Id.) However, he was unsuccessful in achieving diversity throughout all tours. Battalion Chief Wallace next addressed this task, generating the transfer list with the explanation of its methodology appearing in P-5. Wallace's approach could serve as a textbook for narrow tailoring in this field:

- (1.) The Court infers that Wallace picked up where Jones left off, that is, employing assignments to new firefighters, and honoring voluntary transfer requests where diversity goals could be served.
- (2.) Both white and non-white firefighters would be involuntarily transferred.
- (3.) "the firefighter with the least

seniority would be transferred." (Id.)

- (4.) "Some of these transfers would be made within the same firehouse, changing tours." (<u>Id</u>.)
- (5.) "Others would be transferred within the Battalion when possible." (<u>Id</u>.)
- (6.) "... every effort was made to minimize the impact on the affected firefighter." (<u>Id</u>.)
- (7.) No firefighter, whatever his race, was fired, laid off or furloughed.
- (8.) No firefighter suffered any reduction in rank, seniority or compensation.
- (9.) No successful recruit was denied admission to the Fire Department.
- (10.) Use of the transfer policy violated no employee's rights under any CBA because it was the exercise of a management prerogative.

Under strict scrutiny, the Court determines that the City of Newark had a compelling interest to desegregate its fire companies and did so pursuant to a policy of assignments and transfers that was narrowly tailored to achieve that goal. Plaintiffs have, therefore, failed to sustain any of their claims in the Second Amended Complaint.

Coda

While the Court has undertaken the foregoing factual and legal analysis which the law requires, determining that under such principles as "strict scrutiny", "compelling interest" and "narrow tailoring" Newark's plan to integrate its fire companies survives

plaintiffs' attack, it has also not lost sight of its primary mission in <u>all</u> cases: to ensure that justice is done. The racial tensions that have gripped the City of Newark for decades, even erupting into the riots of 1967, are all too well known in this region. The Consent Decree was a valiant effort to combat racial preferences in the Newark Fire Department but, as noted above, progress under that Decree was painfully slow. To suggest that the Decree and the racism which it sought to combat is satisfied merely by a gross headcount of minority firefighters and officers while segregated firehouses persist is to endorse, in essence, the separate but equal philosophy so emphatically rejected by our Supreme Court more than 50 years ago in <u>Brown v. Board of Education</u>, 347 U.S. 483 (1954).

The City of Newark, urged by its mayor and working through the senior members of the Fire Department, undertook the laudable goal of integrating all the City's fire companies through a policy of augmenting transfer requests and assignments of new personnel with a minimum of necessary involuntary transfers. This was not an easy task, particularly considering that three significant ethnic groups (Caucasian, African-American and Hispanic) are involved in the assignment process. But the task has been accomplished, and it was right and just to do so.

Let us contrast the actions, the motives and the courage of the City of Newark with the conduct of the plaintiff Unions in

this case: The Newark Firefighters Union and the Newark Fire Officers Union. Those unions and their leadership could have endorsed the city's plan and surely played a role in its implementation. The benefits to all Union members from integrated firehouses have been adequately argued and established on this record and, indeed, were obvious. Equally obvious is the potential for integrated fire companies, particularly when their members arrive at a neighborhood fire, to demonstrate to the citizens of the City of Newark that cooperation by persons of different races, striving side-by-side to achieve a common goal, works. But the Unions would have none of this. Blinded by a perceived incursion into their rights as their members' collective bargaining representatives, the Unions would sacrifice the laudable goal of a truly integrated fire department at the altar of a jurisdictional dispute over their "rights" to approve permanent transfers as bargainable terms and conditions of employment. On this point the Unions have recently been proven wrong by the Appellate Division in Newark Firefighters Union, Inc. v. City of Newark, ____ N.J. Super. ___ (App. Div. June 23, 2005). Yet, as plaintiffs in the case at bar, the Unions have pursued this goal under the guise of the United States Constitution and various anti-discrimination statutes. However, the perpetuation of segregated firehouses, with voluntary transfers into comfortable environments, encouraged by Union input particularly

on questions of seniority (the old <u>status quo</u> which the Unions fight here to preserve), is the very antithesis of the civil rights laws which the Unions invoke. As noted in the preceding portions of this Opinion, they have not succeeded.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Court dismisses all claims set forth in the Second Amended Complaint and enters judgment for the defendants, with costs.

JOHN W. BISSELL Chief Judge

United States District Court

DATED: August 24, 2005

NEWARK FIRE DEPARTMENT

Office of the Fire Chief 1010 Eighteenth Avenue Newark, New Jersey 07106

733-5187

733-7423

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

January 9, 2003

FROM:

LOWELL F. JONES, FIRE CHIEF

TO:

EDWARD J. DUNHAM, FIRE DIRECTOR

RE:

DEPARTMENTAL THANSFER LIST

Director,

Attached is the departmental transfer list as developed by the Office of the Fire Chief. I tried to achieve an element of diversity as well as assign department personnel as per their specialized training. Truck Company's, DeCon units, units that operated alone due to rotational closings, and units on the extreme of the City were assigned manpower levels higher than units that generally responded closely with other units. This was done because of our need to maintain a high degree of readiness in face of world and/or domestic terroristic threats. Units that have been training together and that have achieved a high level of efficiency was maintained and where we could comply with diversity we did so.

I believe we have met the mandates as outlined by the Mayor. 3

LOWELL F. JONES FIRE CHIEF

LFJ:y|b



FIREFIGHTERS LIST

	FROM:	TO:
F/F Rufus Jackson	Engine 7, Tour 4	Arson
F/F Eric Barnes	Pianning & Research	Comm. Relations
F/F Manuel Gonzalez	Engine 16, Tour 4	· ! Engine 05, Shift 2
F/F Salvador Bidot	Engine 11, Tour 2	Engine 08, Shift 3
; F/F John Perdisatt	Engine 11, Tour 1	Engine 06, Shift 3
F/F Angelo Capalbo	Engine 21, Tour 2	Engine 07, Shift 2
F/F Thomas Hodge	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 07, Shift 2
F/F William Boyd	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 07, Shift 3
F/F Daniel Iberer	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 07, Shift 4
F/F Robert Illis	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 07, Shift 4
F/F Tyrone Mitchell	Engine 21, Tour 4	i Engine 07, Shift 4
F/F Thomas Buffaloe	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 09, Shift 1
F/F Jason Jones	Roving, Tour 2	Engine 09. Shift 1
F/F John Meixedo	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 09, Shift 4.
F/F Joseph Gethard	Roving, Tour 1 😹	
F/F Robert Defroscia	Roving, Tour 1	Engine 10, Shift 4
F/F Delfin Ortiz	Roving, Tour 2	Engine 11, Shift 2
F/F Reynard Gasvage	Roving, Tour 1	Engine 11, Shift 4
F/F Radney Johnson	Truck 11, Tour 1	Engine 11, Shift1
F/F David Rodgers	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 12, Shift 3
F/F William Snyder	Truck 9, Tour 1	Engine 14, Shift 3
F/F Lazaro Rodriguez	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 15, Shift 3
F/F Mark Wolf	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 15, Shift 4
F/F Thomas Jenkins	Roving, Tour 1	Engine 18, Shift 1
F/F Joseph Kostnicka	Roving, Tour 2	Engine 16, Shift 2
F/F William Mojica	Training Academy	Engine 16, Shift 3
F/F Rashad Shahled	Engine 19, Tour 3	Engine 16, Shift 3
F/F Elio Valentin	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 16, Shift 4
F/F Jean Pierre	Roving, Tour 2	Engine 17, Shift 2
F/F Thomas Shea	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 17, Shift 2
F/F Gregory Meehan	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 17, Shift 3
F/F Gary Holmes	Engine 11, Tour 4	Engine 18, Shift 2
F/F Latina Byrd	Roving, Tour 1	Engine 18, Shift 4
F/F Manuel Vieira	Hoving, Tour 4	Engine 18, Shift 4
F/F Dean Scalani	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 19, Shift 3
F/F Jose Robles	Roving, Tour 3	Engine 21, Shift 2
F/F Eugene Brenner	Engine 21, Tour 2	Engine 26, Shift 2
F/F Carl Schnerig	Engine 7, Tour 2	Engine 26, Shift 2
F/F David Holmes	Roving, Tour 4	Engine 29, Shift 4
F/F John Rispoli	Engine 17, Tour 2	Engine 29, Shift 4
F/F Willie Booker	FP&LS	Haz-Mat Inspector
F/F Michael Reed	Engine 17, Tour 2	Rescué, Shift 2
F/F Dean Gatti	Truck 1, Tour 3	Rescue, Shift 3
F/F Gilbert Colon Jr.	! Truck 6, Tour 3	Rescue, Shift 4 Special Service
F/F Anthony Mauriello	Motors	Oberigi Delvice

FIREFIGHTERS LIST

	FROM:	TO:
F/F Bernard J Dowd	Truck 4, Tour 1	i Training/Fireboat
F/F Alejandro Cruz	Engine 10, Tour 4	Truck 01, Shift 1
F/F Hector Lugo	Engine 16, Tour 4	Truck 01. Shift 1
F/F Sean Williams	Truck 1, Tour 3	Truck 01, Shift-1
F/F Michael Cravo	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 01, Shift 3
	Roving, Tour 3	Truck 01, Shift 3
F/F Angel Rosario	Roving, Tour 4	Truck 01, Shift 4
F/F Luis Sanabria	Roving, Tour 4	Truck 04, Shift 1
F/F William Castro	Truck 4, Tour 2	Truck 04, Shift 1
F/F Walter M. Fudali	Truck 4, Tour 4	Truck 04, Shift 2
F/F Michael Glibert	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 04, Shift 2
F/F Emest Lunetta	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 04, Shift 2
F/F Oswald Robetto	Engine 27, Tour 4	Truck 04, Shift 4
F/F Darius Blshop	Roving, Tour 4	Truck 04, Shift 4
F/F James Kupko	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 05, Shift 1
111 6011210	Roving, Tour 3	Truck 05, Shift 3
F/F Clarence Sims	Truck 5, Tour 1	Truck 05, Shift 3
F/F John Wilson	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 06, Shift 2
F/F Douglas Jones	Engine 26, Tour 2	Truck 07, Shift 2
F/F Anthony Campos	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 08, Shift 1-
F/F Jose Alves	Roving, Tour 3	Truck 08, Shift 3
F/F Wilfredo Rivera	Roving, Tour 3	Truck 08, Shift 3
F/F Cornell Roper F/F Julio Gonzalez	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 08, Shift 4
F/F Kamal Brown	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 09, Shift 1
F/F Jose Garcia	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 09, Shift 1
F/F Anthony Magliacano	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 09, Shift 2
F/F Jose Cantara	Engine 18, Tour 1	Truck 09, Shift 3
F/F Albert Downey	Truck 8, Tour 3	Truck 09, Shift 3
F/F Reinaldo Martinez	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 10, Shift 2
F/F Shannon McTige	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 10, Shift 2
F/F Jerry DeLane	Truck 9, Tour 1	Truck 11, Shift 1
F/F Peter Maloney III	Truck 12, Tour 1	Truck 11, Shift 1
F/F David Mickel	Roving, Tour 3	Truck 11, Shift 3
F/F Krzysztof Saroka	Roving, Tour 4	Truck 11, Shift 4
F/F Claudino Dominguez	Roving, Tour 1	Truck 12, Shift 1
F/F Marshall Richardson	Roving, Tour 2	Truck 12, Shift 2
LIL Maraner Protectano.		•

THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

CAPTAIN'S LIST

FROM:

Captain Abnathya Mason
Captain Paul Bartelloni
Captain M. Foy
Captain Michael McCarthy
Captain Michael Sorace
Captain Daniel Synder
Captain Thomas Grehl
Captain Mark Hopkins
Captain Herbert Volkert
Captain Gregory Sereico
Captain John Sicignano
Captain Robert Banta
Captain Robert Robbins
Captain Louis Miele

Engine Co. 10, Shift 1
Engine Co. 29, Shift 1
Roving, Shift 1
Engine Co. 17, Shift 1
Truck Co. 10, Shift 1
Roving, Shift 4
Engine Co. 27, Shift 3
Roving, Shift 2
Truck Co. 11, Shift 1(t)
Rescue Co. 1, Shift 1(t)
Roving, Shift 1
Engine 19, Shift 1
Roving, Shift 2
Röving, Shift 2

TO:

Roving, Shift 1
Engine Co. 10, Shift 1
Engine Co. 29, Shift 1
Truck Co. 12, Shift 2
Engine Co. 17, Shift 1
Truck Co. 10, Shift 1
Special Services
Engine Co. 28, Shift 2
Truck Co. 11, Shift 1
Rescue Co. 1, Shift 1
Roving, Shift 2
Engine 27, Shift 3
Engine 19, Shift 1
Roving, Shift 1

01/08/2005 12:34 FAX

01/08/2005 10:29 9737335394

LAW DEFT NEWARK

Ø 002/008

FAGE 02/08

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

5

Newark Fire Department

Edward Dunham, Director Lowell F. Jones, Fire Chief

Division of Planning and Research

ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED MATERIAL

To:

Edward J. Dunham, Fire Director

From:

Battalion Chief Raymond L. Wallace

Re:

100% DIVERSITY TRANSFER LIST

Date:

January 13, 2003

Director,

After reviewing the transfer list submitted by Fire Chief Jones as requested by you, I note the directive as to have <u>diversity throughout the Newark Fire Department has not peen achieved</u>. If Chief Jones transfer list were to be used, there would be <u>14 companies all Caucasian and 10 companies African American</u>. (see Jones list) This list does not meet the directive and is unsatisfactory.

I propose a solution that would meet the mandate of diversity for all fire crews within the Department. (see 100% diversity) This would require transfers of department members to meet the objective. The transfers would be consistent across the Department, as the firefighter with the least seniority would be transferred. Some of these transfers would be made within the same firehouse, changing tours. Others would be transferred within the Battalian when possible. Be assured that every effort was made to minimize the impact on the affected firefighter.

To implement this plan would require that additional transfers be made. I am providing a list, which was generated by the above mentioned manner (see Additional Transfers).

The Planning and Research Division recommends and supports this plan as it meets the desired effect intended with the least impact for the firefighters involved.

Respectfully.

B/C Raymond L. Wallace

Attachments Confidential 01/08/2005 12:35 FAX 01/08/2005 10:29

9737335394

LAW DEPT NEWARK

<u>@</u>004/008

PAGE 04/08

Fire Chief Jones Transfer List

		FROM		170	1 .
FINK	NAME	COMPANY	TOUR	COMPANY	Toul
	Rufus Jackson	Engine 7.	4	Arson	<u> </u>
-/- -/F	Manuel Gonzalez	Engine 16	. 4	Engine 5	2
2/P	Salvador Bidot .	Engine 11	2 ·	Engine 6	3
	John Perdisatt	Engine 11	1.1	Engine 5	3
	Angelo Capalbo	Engine 21	! 2	Engine 7	2
	Thomas Hodge	Roying	1 4	. Engine 7	2
	William Boyd	Roving	3	Engine 7	3
	Daniel Iberer	Roving	4	Engine 11	4
	Robert Illis	Roving	4	Engine 7	4 '
	Tyrona Mitchell	Engine 21	4	Engine 7	4
	Thomas Buffaloe	Roving	4	Engine 9	1
	Jason Jones .	Roving	2	Engine 9	1
	John Meixedo	Roving	4	Engine 9	4
	Joseph Gethard	' Roving	11	Engine 18	1
	Robert Defroscia	Roving	1	Engine 10	- 4
	Delfin Offiz	Roving	. 2	Engine 11	2
	Reynard Gasvage	. Roving	1	Engine 7	4
	Radney Johnson	Truck 11	1	Engine 11	1
		Roying	3	Engine 12	3
	David Rodgers	Truck 9	1	Engine 14	3
	William Snyder	Roving	3	Engine 15	3
	Lazero Rodrigez	Roving	4	Engine 15	4
	Mark Wolf	Roving	77	Engine 16	
	Thomas Jenkins	Roving	2	Engine 16	2
	Joseph Kostnicka	raining Academy		Engine 16	_ _ _3
		Engine 19	3	. Engine 16	3
	Rashad Shehled			Engine 18	4
	Ejio Valentin	Roving	2	Engine 17	2
	Jean Pierre	Roving			2
	Thomas Shea	Roving	3	Engine 17	3
	Gregory Meehan	Roving	J	Truck 8	, 2
	Sary Holmes	Engine 11	4	Engine 18	4
	atina Byrd	Roving	1	Engine 18	
	Manuel Vielra	Roving ·	4	Engine 18	4 3
	Dean Scalani	Roving	3	Engine 19	
=7=	lose Robles	' Roving	3	Engine 21	2
	Eugene Brenner	Engine 21	2	Enine 25	2
=/F (Carl Schnerig	Engine 7	2	Engine 26	2
F/F [David Holmes	Roving	4	Engine 29	4
F/F \.	iohn Rispoli	Engine 17	2	Engine 29	4
F/F N	Michael Reed	Engine 17	2	Resoue	2
F/F	Déan Gati	Truck 1	3	Rescue	3
	Gilbert Colon, Jr.	Truck 6	3 '	Rescue	4
	Semerd J. Dowd	Truck 4	1	- Training/Fireboat	
	Alejandro Cruz	Eingine 10	4	Truck 1 .	' '1
	lector Lugo	Engine 16	4	. Truck 1	1
	Sean Williams	Truck 1	3	Truck 1	1
	Vichael Crayo	Roving	1	Truck 1	3
	Angel Rosario	Roying	. 3	Truck 1	3
	uls Sanabria	Roving	4	Truck 1	4

Newark Fire Department Directors Office Confidential 01/06/2005 12:35 FAX

<u>Ø</u>1005/008

01/06/2005 10:29 9737335394

LAW DEPT NEWARK

PAGE 05/08

Fire Chief Jones Transfer List

	1	FROM	T	TO "	<u> </u>
<u></u>	S NAME .	COMPANY	TOUR	COMPANY	TOUR
FF	William Castro	Roving	4	Truck 4	† 1.
F/F		Truck 4 .	2	Truck 4	1 1
FE	Michel Gilbert	Truck 4	4	Truck 4	2
F/F	⊆mest Lunetta	Roving	2	Truck 4	. 2
声声	Oşwald Robeto	Roving	2	" Truck 4	2
F/F	Derius Bishop	Engline 27	-4	Truck 4	4
声声	James Kupko	Roying	4	Truck 4	4
声	Lonzia Ellison	Roving	1.	Truck 11	1
FF	Clarence Sims	Roving	3	Truck 5	3
FF	John Wilson	Truck 5	1	Truck 5	3
青声	Douglas Jones	Roying	2	Truck 6	. 2
FF	Anthony Campos	Engine 26	Z	Truck 7	2
F/F	Jose Alves	Roving .	1 .	Truck 8	1
FF	Wilfredo Ribera	Roving	3.	Engine 17	3
声	Comeil Roper	Roying	. 3	Truck 8	3
R/F	Julio Gonzalez	Roving	. 1	Truck B	4
FF	Kamai Brown	Roving	1	Truck 9	· 1
EF.	Jose Garcia	Roving	1	Lurck a	1
FIF	Anthony Magliscano	Roving	2	Engine 29	2
FF	Jose Cantera	Engine 18	1	Truck 9	-3
FF	Albert Downey	Truck 8	3	Truck 9	2
FF	Relnaldo Martinez	Roving	7	Truck 10	2
FF	Shannon McTige	Roving	2 .	Truck 10	2
FF	Jerry DeLane	Truck 9	1	Truck 11	1
ËF	David Mickel	Roving	3	, Rescue	3
F.F	Krzyszioi Saroka	Roying	4 .	Truck 12	4
FF	Claudino Dominguez	Roving	1	Engine 7	1
ĒĒ	Marshall Richardson	Roving	2	Truck 12	2

01/06/2005 12:35 FAX 01/06/2005 10:29

9737335394

LAW DEPT NEWARK

@ 008/008

PAGE 05/08

FIRE CHIEF JONES LIST

 		Tarre	4 }	-	T	Tour 2		7.		Tour	,	1	Τ.	Tour	4	1
		Tour	<u>'</u>	+-		10012	•	╫	+	Tour		1	 	1 1000	`	+
	1_	 	 _	+	+=	Н	† c	+	B	H	- c	╁	B	H	10	
ENGINE	<u> 'B</u>	H ⁻	·	╬	\ ^(c) B		10	╂-	1.0	l n		╂	-		- 	-
				+	+ -	 	-	╀-	+-		 	 	 	Ò	3	
5	_ 2	2 .		ļ.	11	2	1	-	0	1	. 3		1	AND DOCUMENT		23
6	1	0	2	<u> </u>	10	1_1_	2	╀	O	1	3	<u> </u>				1
7	3.0			3	1	1 ************************************	2	<u> </u>	1	O	3		2	1 1	1 1	Ţ
9	2_	D.	3	<u> </u>		Were	100			XHOW			0	1.1	3	
10 .	3	0	1	_	_		,	1	2 (0)				0	2	2	ļ.,
11				1_	0	1	2				学 沙		3	0	1	.
12	1	0	3 .	<u> </u>			,		2	1.	1		200			
· 13	0	1	3		0	1 1	3_	<u> </u>	1	11_	1			74.4		<u> </u>
14 -	0	1	3			1	2		0	2	2			220%		<u> </u>
15	2	2	Ò		446	的被领			1	1	2		3	0	1	
16	2	1.	0.	<u> </u>	0	1	2		1	1	1		1	· 1 ·	1	1
17	1.	Đ	3		1	0	. 3	-	3	0	,.1		1			
31					1	O.	3		0	1	3		3	0	1	
12	展長								2	Q	1:				1.1	-
21				1	Ö	1	2		2	O.	1		通影	News.		
35	34.0				1	Q·	2		2	Ö	2		0	1.	2	
27	200	37.6	F		44.00				۵	1	3	•	1	. 0	1	1Asian
28	2'	Ö	2		1	0	3		2	1	1	į.				
25	3	Ö	1				法包括			district.		T.	1	0	3	, ",
			-	,		•						.		**		
TRUCK	1 1					Ì	- 1	$\overline{}$								
1	11	. 3	D		2	2	. 0		0	1	3	+	3	1	0	
77.4	2	1	1		1.	7	.2		- ,	AYA #			2	1	1	
5	ALC: NO.		473 6		1	0	3	1	1	0	3	-	1	0	3	
6	2	0	2		1	2	11	-	2	ō	1	Ť	2	1	1	
7				-	0	1	3	╌╁	0 /	3	1	+	1	2	1	, _
	0	1	2	-			70	1	1	2	1	_	0	2	~ i 	
8	171	1	2	 		APOST GIV		1	2	1	2	غزز				
10	_ 1 ~ 1			f	. 2	1	1'	-				1	2	1	1	
11		0	3				17 (0.2)				S-RODA	1	3	o	7	
	1 1	1	3	f	1	1	2	—if	1	O	3	+	2	0	2	
	- ' 		~~ {	-+						<u> </u>		-}-				
355715	1		•	\dashv	0	1			4 -	1	3.	+	0	3	1	
RESCUE	0	1	· 3		<u> </u>	1	3	-+	1.	1	3.1		<u> </u>	3		
	+ !	45	-44	 ∤	02	40	24	-+	- W W		777	-}-	40		`	
	44	15	44	┵	23		61	\dashv	25	19	80	-	43	17	41	1
707.12		455		-				+	—∤-	-		~				
TOTALS	<u> </u>	103		L		102		<u> </u>		104				-702		

01/08/2005 12:38 FAX

☑ 007/008

01/06/2005 10:29 9797995994

LAW DEPT NEWARK

PAGE 07/08

ADDITIONAL TRANSFERS 100 % DIVERSITY

 		FROM			TO	TOUR
RANK	NAME	COMPANY	TOUR		COMPANY	1005
F/F	CLAUDINO DOMINQUEZ	ROVING	1	•	ENGINE 7	<u>-</u>
	DAVID WALLACE	TRUCK 8	4		TRUCKS	2 2
<u>F/F</u>	ANTHONY MAGLIACANO	ROVING	2	l	ENGINE 29	
F/F	JASON JONES	ROVING	2.		TRUCK 5	1 .
F/F	MICHAEL CRAVO	ROVING	. 1		- ENGINE 19	2
<u>F/F</u>	DAVID MICKEL	ROVING	3		RESCUE	3 .
F/F	KENNETH REEDS	ENGINE 19	2		ENGINE 27	2
F/F	JAMES GILES	ENGINE 28	. 2		ENGINE 10'	2
F/F	JOSEPH GETHARD	ROVING	1		ENGINE 18	1
F/F	DAYON COBBS	TRUCK 10	1 1		TRUCK 10	3
F/E	CHRIS DEMURO	TRUCK 10	3	-	TRUCK 10	1
F/F	WENDELL COOPER	ENGINE 29	2		ENGINE 9 .	2
F/F	MARK PISERCHIO	ENGINE 9	2 .	· · ·	ENGINE 29	• 2
		TRUCK 11	2	Ī .	ENGINE 19	2
F/F	JAMES COSTA GARY HOLMAN	ENGINE 19	2		"TRUCK 11	2
F/F	PAUL MAZZA	ENGINE 7	1	; ,	ENGINE 26	1
<u> </u>	STEVE ANDERSON .	ENGINE 28	1		ENGINE 6	<u> </u>
F/F	RAYMOND HATTON	ENGINE 8	1		ENGINE 11	
F/F	BRYAN WEBB	ENGINE 11	1	1	ENGINE 6	. 1
F/F	DEBLIN RODRIGEZ	TRUCK 7	3		TRUCK 11	. 3
<u>-/</u> F	HAROLD BANE	ENGINE 10	3		ENGINE 12	3 _
[][GERALD HIGHSMITH	ENG!NÉ 12	3		ENGINE 10	9
F/F	PETER COONEY	ENGINE 9	3		ENGINE 15	. 3
F/F	LAZARIO RODRIGUEZ	ROVING	3		ENGINE 9	3
F/I	ART CIAMPI	ENGINE 28	4		ENGINE 19	4
F/I	KAREEM JACKSON	ENGINE 19	4		ENGINE 28	4
F/I	CLARENCE BRUTON	ENGINE 17	4	-	ENGINE 21	4.
F/F	JAMES WILLIS	ENGINE 21	4		ENGINE 17	4
F/I	KEVIN JOHNSON	ENGINE 12	. 4		ENGINE 10	4
F/I	ROBERT DEFROSCIA	ROVING	- 4		ENGINE 12	4
F/I:		ENGINE 19	4		ENGINE 14	4
F/I: ·	CHARLES LOMACK	ENGINE 5	1		ENGINE 19	·1 ·
F/I:	MANUAL RODRIGUEZ	TRAINING			SPECIAL SERVICE	
FAF	ARTHUR GARRETT	COMM. REL.	 		TRAINING	
F/I=	THADDEUS KENNEDY	ب ۱۹۱۱۸۰ دوجه	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

01/08/2005 12:38 FAX

01/06/2005 10:29 9737335394

LAW DEPT NEWARK

₫ 008/008

FAGE 06/08

100 % DIVERSITY

	1	1	- <u> </u>		1	Tour 2	Ţ.	T"-	1	Tour 3	3			Tour 4	-	1
<u> </u>		Tour 1	-		<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	-	7- "	-	,			-		
		H	С	} - -	B	H	C	 	В	H	C		В	H	C	
ENGINE	8	<u> n</u>	- -	 	1 1		-	+	 		1	1		i i	1 :	
		ļ., "		l	1	2	1	1	0	- 1	3		1	0	3	
5	2	2	0_	-	0	1	2		10	 	3	\vdash				
6	2	0	1 1	 	¥	1	2	<u> </u>	ĬŤ	0	3	1-	2	1	- 1	1
7	1	0 .	. 3	!—	1.1	0	3	lacksquare	0	1	3		0	-	3	·
	1 1	0	3	 	1		3.	-	1	O	3	·	1	12	1	
1C	3	0	1	 	1	1	. 2	 					3	0	1	
11	2	0_	1	 				_	1		2:		3 \	0	1	
12	1	0	3					_	1	1	1					
13	0	1	3		0	1	2		0	1 2	2		1		3	·
14	0	1	3		35250 1	1 2004/2004:128	2 类型	<u> </u>	!	0	.3		. 3	10	1	
15	. 2	2	0		模型			<u> </u>	1 1	1	1		1	1	1	
16	2	1	0		0	1	-2"				1		3	0	1	
17	1	0	3		1	0	3_		3	0	3		3	0	1	
18	3	0	1_1_		1	0	3	<u> </u>	0	1				0	1 1	
18	3	- 1	O		2	0	2	L.,	2	0	1		2	1 0	2	
21					0	1	2		2	Ö	1		1	1 1	2	
2€ .	3	0	1		1	0	2		2	0	2		0	<u>'</u>		1Asian
27					1	0	3		Q ·	• 1	3		1	0	3	[ASIZI
. 25	2	0	2		1	0	3	•	2	4	1	}	1	ý O	3	
29	3	0	1		2	0	2				New Service		7	.0	ن	
	·												<u> </u>	· <u> </u>		
TRUCK					٠,											_,
1	1	3	0		2	2	0		0	1	3		3	1	O.	
4	2	. 1	1	·	1	1	2					.	2	1	1	
5	1	O	3		1	. 0	3	,	1	٥	3	·	1	. 0	3	<u> </u>
6	2	D	2	•	1	2 ·	• 1		2	`` Q	1	·	2	1 1	1	
7					0	1	3		0	2	1		1	2	1	
8	Ö	1	2						1	2	1		0	: 2	1	- '
9	1	· 1 ·	2		1	O .	3		1	1	2					
10	3	0	1		2:	1	1.		. 1	0	3		2	ļ. <u>1</u>	1	
11	1	0	3		1	0	3		O	1	3		3	0	1.	
12	1	. 0	3		. 1	1.	2	•	1.	Ō	3		2	0	2	
		- ,		-				- 1	- (· ·		1	-	1		
RESC:UE	· 0	1 .	3	- `	Đ,	1	3	-	1	1	2	1	0 +	¥.3	1	
THE COURT	1			-					. 1							
,	43	15	45	. +	24	18	60	<u></u>	25	19	58		43	17	41	1
									•							
TOT/LS		104				102			-	102				102		

Confidential
Newark Fire Department
Directors Office

CITY OF NEWARK, NEW JERSEY SHARPE JAMES, MAYOR

FIRE DEPARTMENT EDWARD J. DUNHAM, FIRE DIRECTOR LOWELL F. JONES, FIRE CHIEF

NOTICE NO. 2003 - 005

DATE:

JANUARY 15, 2003

TO:

THE OFFICERS AND MEMBERS OF

THE NEWARK FIRE DEPARTMENT

RE:

EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 426 - TRANSFERS & ASSIGNMENTS

The following is to be brought to the attention of all Members of the Newark Fire Department.

"FIRE DEPARTMENT

EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 426

JANUARY 15, 2003

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

FROM:

EDWARD J. DUNHAM, FIRE DIRECTOR

TO:

LOWELL F. JONES, FIRE CHIEF

KENNETH BLAHA, CHIEF CLERK

The following transfers and assignments are hereby effective at 0800 hours on Friday, January 31, 2003:

	FRO	<u>M</u>	<u>TO</u>	
Capt. Michael Foy	Roving	Shift 1	Roving	Tour 2
Capt. Daniel Snyder	Roving	Shift 4	Eng. Co. 29	Tour 1
Capt. Andrew Truskowski	Roving	Shift 1	Eng. Co. 17	Tour 1
Capt. Michael McCarthy	Eng. Co. 17	Shift 1	Trk. Co. 12	Tour 2
Capt. Abnathy A. Mason	Eng. Co. 10	Shift 1	Roving	Tour 1
Capt. Paul Bartelloni	Eng. Co. 29	Shift 1	Eng. Co. 10	Tour 1
Capt. Herbert Volkert	Trk. Co. 11	Shift 1 (T)	Trk. Co. 11	Tour 1
Capt. Gregory Sereico	Rescue	Shift 1 (T)	Rescue	Tour 1
Capt. Robert Robbins	Roving	Shift 2	Community	Relations
Capt. Mark Hopkins	Roving	Shift 2	Eng. Co. 28	Tour 2
F/F Rufus Jackson	Eng. Co. 7	Tour 4	Investigation	ns
F/F Manual Gonzalez	Eng. Co. 16	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 5	Tour 2
F/F Salvador Bidot	Eng. Co. 11	Tour 2	Eng. Co. 6	Tour 3
F/F John Perdisatt	Eng. Co. 11	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 6	Tour 3
F/F Angelo Capalbo	Eng. Co. 21	Tour 2	Eng. Co. 7	Tour 2
F/F Tyrone Mitchell	Eng. Co. 21	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 7	Tour 4

Notice No. 2003 - 005 January 15, 2003 Page 2

	FROM	TO
	Trk. Co. 11 Tour 1	Eng. Co. 11 Tour I
F/F Radney Johnson	Trk. Co. 9 Tour 1	Eng. Co. 14 Tour 3
F/F William Snyder		Eng. Co. 16 Tour 3
F/F William Mojica	Training Academy	Eng. Co. 16 Tour 3
F/F Rashad Shahied	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 3	-
F/F Gary Holmes	Eng. Co. 11 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 18 Tour 2
F/F Eugene Brenner	Eng. Co. 21 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 26 Tour 2
F/F Carl Schnerig	Eng. Co. 7 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 26 Tour 2
F/F John Rispoli	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 29 Tour 4
F/F Michael Reed	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 2	Rescue Tour 2
F/F Gilbert Colon Jr.	Trk. Co. 6 Tour 3	Rescue Tour 4
F/F Bernard J. Dowd	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 1	Training Academy/Fireboat
F/F Alejandro Cruz	Eng. Co. 10 Tour 4	Trk. Co. 1 Tour 1
F/F Hector Lugo	Eng. Co. 16 Tour 4	Trk. Co. 1 Tour 1
F/F Sean Williams	Trk. Co. 1 Tour 3	Trk. Co. 1 Tour 1
F/F Walter M. Fudali	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 2	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 1
F/F Michael Gilbert	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 4	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 2
F/F Darius Bishop	Eng. Co. 27 Tour 4	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 4
F/F John Wilson	Trk. Co. 5 Tour 1	Trk. Co. 5 Tour 3
F/F Anthony Campos	Eng. Co. 26 Tour 2	Trk. Co. 7 Tour 2
F/F Jose Cantara	Eng. Co. 18 Tour 1	Trk. Co. 9 Tour 3
_	Trk. Co. 8 Tour 3	Trk. Co. 9 Tour 3
	Trk. Co. 9 Tour 1	Trk. Co. 11 Tour 1
	Trk. Co. 8 Tour 4	Trk. Co. 9 Tour 2
	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 27 Tour 2
— · · ·	Eng. Co. 29 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 10 Tour 2
F/F James Giles	Trk. Co. 10 Tour 1	Trk. Co. 10 Tour 3
F/F Dayon Cobbs	Trk. Co. 10 Tour 3	Trk. Co. 10 Tour 1
F/F Chris DeMuro	Eng. Co. 29 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 9 Tour 2
F/F Wyndell Cooper	Eng. Co. 9 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 29 Tour 2
F/F Mark Piserchio	Trk. Co. 11 Tour 2	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 2
F/F James Costa	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 2	Trk. Co. 11 Tour 2
F/F Gary Holman	Eng. Co. 7 Tour 1	Eng. Co. 26 Tour 1
F/F Paul Mazza	Eng. Co. 26 Tour 1	Eng. Co. 6 Tour 1
F/F Steve Anderson	_	Eng. Co. 11 Tour 1
F/F Raymond Hatton	Eng. Co. 6 Tour 1,	Eng. Co. 6 Tour 1
F/F Bryan Webb	Eng. Co. 11 Tour 1	Trk. Co. 11 Tour 3
F/F Deblin Rodriguez	Trk. Co. 7 Tour 3	Eng. Co. 12 Tour 3
F/F Harold Bane	Eng. Co. 10 Tour 3	Eng. Co. 10 Tour 3
F/F Gerald Highsmith	Eng. Co. 12 Tour 3	
F/F Peter Cooney	Eng. Co. 9 Tour 3	Eng. Co. 15 Tour 3
F/F Arthur Ciampi	Eng. Co. 28 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 4
F/F Kareem Jackson	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 28 Tour 4
F/F Clarence Bruton	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 21 Tour 4
F/F James Willis	Eng. Co. 21 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 4
F/F Kevin Johnson	Eng. Co. 12 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 10 Tour 4
F/F Charles Lomack	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 14 Tour 4
F/F Manual Rodriguez	Eng. Co. 5 Tour 1	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 1
171 11111111111111111111111111111111111	·.	

Notice No. 2003 - 005 January 15, 2003 Page 3

	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
F/F Arthur Garrett	Training Academy	Special Services
F/F Thaddeus Kennedy	Community Relations	Training Academy
F/F Paul Riley	Trk. Co. 7 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 15 Tour 4
F/F Jeremias Ocasio Jr.	Trk. Co. 6 Tour 4	Eng. Co. 18 Tour 4

The following Probationary Firefighters are hereby assigned as noted:

•			
PF/F Thomas Hodge	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 7 Tour 2
PF/F William Boyd	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 7 Tour 3
PF/F Daniel Iberer	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 11 Tour 4
PF/F Robert Illis	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 7 Tour 4
PF/F Thomas Buffaloe	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 9 Tour 1
PF/F Jason Jones	Roving	Tour 2	-Trk. Co. 5 Tour 1
PF/F John Meixedo	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 9 Tour 4
PF/F Joseph Gethard	Roving	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 18 Tour 1
PF/F Robert DeFroscia	Roving	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 12 Tour 4
PF/F Delfin Ortiz	Roving	Tour 2	Eng. Co. 11 Tour 2
PF/F Reynard Gasvage	Roving	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 7 Tour 4
PF/F David Rodgers	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 12 Tour 3
PF/F Lazaro Rodriguez	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 9 Tour 3
PF/F Mark Wolf	Roving	Tour 4	Trk. Co. 7 Tour 4
PF/F Thomas Jenkins	Roving	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 16 Tour 1
PF/F Joseph Kostnicka	Roving	Tour 2	Eng. Co. 16 Tour 2
PF/F Elio Valentin	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 16 Tour 4
PF/F Jean Pierre	Roving	Tour 2	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 2
PF/F Thomas Shea	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 2
PF/F Gregory Meehan	Roving	Tour 3	Trk. Co. 8 Tour 3
PF/F Latina Byrd	Roving	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 18 Tour 4
PF/F Manuel Viera	Roving	Tour 4	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 2
PF/F Dean Scalani	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 3
PF/F Jose Robles	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 21 Tour 2
PF/F David Holmes	Roving	Tour 4	Eng. Co. 29 Tour 4
PF/F Michael Cravo	Roving	Tour 1	Eng. Co. 19 Tour 2
PF/F Angel Rosario	Roving	Tour 3	Trk. Co. 1 Tour 3
PF/F Luis Sanabria	Roving	Tour 4	Trk. Co. 1 Tour 4
PF/F William Castro	Roving	Tour 4	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 1
PF/F Emest Lunetta	Roving	Tour 2	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 2
PF/F Oswald Robeto	Roving	Tour 2	Trk. Co. 6 Tour 4
PF/F James Kupko	Roving	Tour 4	Trk. Co. 4 Tour 4
PF/F Lonzia Ellison	Roving	Tour 1	Trk. Co. 11 Tour 1
PF/F Clarence Sims	Roving	Tour 3	Trk. Co. 5 Tour 3
PF/F Douglas Jones	Roving	Tour 2	Trk. Co. 6 Tour 2
PF/F Jose Alves	Roving	Tour 1	Trk. Co. 8 Tour 1
PF/F Wilfredo Rivera	Roving	Tour 3	Eng. Co. 17 Tour 3
PF/F Cornell Roper	Roving	Tour 3	Trk. Co. 8 Tour 3
LEVE COUNCIL KOPCI		-	

Notice No. 2003 - 005 January 15, 2003 Page 4

	FR	<u>:OM</u>	<u>TO</u>
PF/F Julio Gonzalez PF/F Kamal Brown PF/F Jose Garcia PF/F Anthony Magliacano PF/F Reinaldo Martinez PF/F Shannon McTige PF/F David Mickel PF/F Krzysztof Sroka PF/F Claudino Dominguez PF/F Marshall Richardson	Roving	Tour 1 Tour 1 Tour 1 Tour 2 Tour 2 Tour 2 Tour 2 Tour 3 Tour 4 Tour 1 Tour 2	Trk. Co. 8 Tour 4 Trk. Co. 9 Tour 1 Trk. Co. 9 Tour 1 Eng. Co. 29 Tour 2 Trk. Co. 10 Tour 2 Trk. Co. 10 Tour 2 Rescue Tour 3 Trk. Co. 11 Tour 4 Eng. Co. 7 Tour 1 Trk. Co. 12 Tour 2

Future transfer requests will not be accepted until further notice.

/s/ EDWARD J. DUNHAM FIRE DIRECTOR

EJD:dg"

By order of the Honorable Edward J. Dunham, Fire Director of the Newark Fire Department through

Lowell F. Jones, Fire Chief.

LOWELL F. JØNES

FIRE CHIEF

LFJ:dg